UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE:	MDL No. 2859
ZIMMER M/L TAPER HIP PROSTHESIS OR M/L TAPER HIP PROSTHESIS WITH KINECTIV TECHNOLOGY AND VERSYSFEMORAL HEAD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	18-MD-2859 (PAC) 18-MC-2859 (PAC) SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
This Document Relates to All Actions Patricia S. Mather v. Zimmer, Inc., Zimmer US, Inc., Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc. f/k/a Zimmer Holdings, Inc.	Individual Case No. 1:21-cv-07620
X	

- 1. Plaintiff(s), <u>Patricia S. Mather</u>, state(s) and bring(s) this civil action in MDL No. 2859, entitled *In Re: Zimmer M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis or M/L Taper Hip Prosthesis with Kinectiv Technology and Versys Femoral Head Products Liability Litigation*, against Defendants Zimmer, Inc., Zimmer US, Inc., and Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc.
- 2. Plaintiff(s) is filing this Short Form Complaint as permitted by this Court's Case Management Order 9, dated February 7, 2019, and hereby incorporates the Master Long Form Complaint filed in MDL No. 2859 by reference.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3.	Plaintiff, Patricia S. Mather, is a resident and citizen of the State of Connecticut
and claims da	images as set forth below.
4.	Plaintiff's Spouse,, is a resident and citizen of
the State of	, and claims damages as set forth below. [Cross out Spousal

Claim if not applicable.]

- 5. Venue of this case is appropriate in the United States District Court,-District of Connecticut. Plaintiff states that but for the Order permitting directly filing into the Southern District of New York pursuant to Case Management Order 9, Plaintiff would have filed in the United States District Court, District of Connecticut. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that at the time of transfer of this action back to the trial court for further proceedings that this case be transferred to the above referenced District Court.
 - 6. Plaintiff brings this action [check the applicable designation]:

 X On behalf of himself/herself;

 In a representative capacity as the ______ of the _____ having been duly appointed as the ______ by the _____ Court of _____. A copy of the Letters of Administration for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are required for the commencement of such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court of the jurisdiction of the decedent. [Cross out if not applicable.]

 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

ALLEGATIONS AS TO RIGHT-SIDE IMPLANT/EXPLANT SURGERY(IES): [CROSS OUT IF NOT APPLICABLE]

- 7. Plaintiff was implanted with a Versys Femoral Head in his/her right hip on or about October 9, 2013 (date), at the Saint Francis Hospital and Medical Center, 114 Woodland

 Street (medical center and address), in Hartford, Connecticut, by Dr. Steven Schutzer.
- 8. Plaintiff was implanted with the following femoral stem during the October 9.

 2013 (date) implantation surgery:

<u>X</u>	Zimmer M/L Taper
	Zimmer M/L Taper with Kinectiv Technology

9. Plaintiff had the following right hip components explanted on or about <u>August 8</u> ,
2019 (date), at Saint Francis Hospital and Medical Center, 114 Woodland Street, Hartford,
Connecticut 06105 (medical center and address) by Dr. Steven Schutzer.:
X Versys femoral head
Zimmer M/L Taper
Zimmer M/L Taper with Kinectiv Technology
[Cross out if not applicable.]
10. Plaintiff will have the right hip components at issue explanted on or about-
, at (medical center and address) by Dr.
[Cross out if not applicable.]
11. Plaintiff has not yet scheduled a surgery for explantation of the right hip
components at issue. [Cross out if not applicable.]
ALLEGATIONS AS TO LEFT-SIDE IMPLANT/EXPLANT SURGERY(IES): [CROSS OUT
<u>IF NOT APPLICABLE</u>]
12. Plaintiff was implanted with a Versys Femoral Head in his/her left hip on or about
(date), at the (medical center and address), in, by Dr
13. Plaintiff was implanted with the following femoral stem during the (date)
implantation surgery:
Zimmer M/L Taper
Zimmer M/L Taper with Kinectiv Technology
14. Plaintiff had the following left hip components explanted on or about
, at (medical center and address) by Dr:
Versys femoral head

Zimmer M/L Taper
Zimmer M/L Taper with Kinectiv Technology
15. Plaintiff will have the left hip components at issue explanted on or about
, at (medical center and address) by Dr
[Cross out if not applicable.]
16. Plaintiff has not yet scheduled a surgery for explantation of the left hip components
at issue. [Cross out if not applicable.]
ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES
17. (a) Plaintiff claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable):
X INJURY TO HERSELF/HIMSELF
INJURY TO THE PERSON REPRESENTED
WRONGFUL DEATH
SURVIVORSHIP ACTION
X ECONOMIC LOSS
(b) Plaintiff's spouse claims damages as a result of (check all that are
applicable): [Cross out if not applicable.]
LOSS OF SERVICES
LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
18. Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of implantation of the Devices at issue
manufactured by the Defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's anticipated Amended

- Complaint if chosen for bellwether consideration, as well as in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the Defendant and are incorporated by reference herein.
 - 19. Plaintiff has suffered injuries as a result of the explantation of the Devices at issue

manufactured by the Defendants as shall be fully set forth in Plaintiff's anticipated Amended Complaint if chosen for bellwether consideration, as well as in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the Defendant and are incorporated by reference herein. [Cross out if not applicable.]

- Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to 20. Plaintiff(s).
- Due to the nature of the defect, Plaintiff(s) could not have known that the injuries 21. he/she suffered were as a result of a defect in the Devices at issue at the time they were implanted or for any period afterwards until the defect was actually discovered by Plaintiff(s).

CASE-SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

22.

<u>X</u>___

LAWS

The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein

adopted by reference from the Master Long Form Complaint (check all that are applicable): COUNT I - NEGLIGENCE; X COUNT II - NEGLIGENCE PER SE; \mathbf{X} COUNT III - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY - DEFECTIVE <u>X</u>___ DESIGN; COUNT IV - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY - \mathbf{X} MANUFACTURING DEFECT; COUNT V - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY- FAILURE TO X WARN; COUNT VI - BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY; X COUNT VII- BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO X MERCHANTABILITY; COUNT VIII - BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES; X COUNT IX - VIOLATION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

	X COUNT X -NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION	
	X COUNT XI- FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT	
	X COUNT XII - UNJUST ENRICHMENT	
	COUNT XIII – LOSS OF CONSORTIUM	
	COUNT XIV – WRONGFUL DEATH	
	COUNT XV- SURVIVAL ACTION	
In addition to the above, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional causes of action under		
applicable stat	e law:	
	X PUNITIVES DAMAGES	
	X OTHER: Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a et seq.	
	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:		
1.	For compensatory damages requested and according to proof;	
2.	For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this	
	action;	
3.	For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;	

For prejudgment interest and costs of suit;

Exemplary damages;

4.

5.

6.

7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Date: September 13, 2021 By: /s/ Ellen Relkin

Ellen Relkin 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 Phone: (212) 558-5500 Fax: (212) 344-5461

Email: erelkin@weitzlux.com